

DETERMINING CHANGES IN STORED PEAS BY USE OF A REFERENCE ELEMENT¹

C. S. BISSON,² H. A. JONES,³ AND H. W. ALLINGER⁴

INTRODUCTION

THE FRESH PEA CROP is of considerable economic importance in California. As most of it is shipped to distant markets, there is danger of the product's being subject to conditions deleterious to edible quality. To a certain extent the continued success of the industry depends upon placing a high-quality commodity on the table of the consumer. In an effort to help in guiding commercial practices in this direction, studies have been made on the fundamental changes occurring in the peas and pods after harvest.

As a rule the results of analyses presented by most investigators studying changes in stored material have been expressed as percentages of dry matter. When this method of expression is used, it is difficult to secure a true picture of the absolute changes that are taking place because the variables being studied, such as sugars and starches, are compared with another variable—total dry matter. Kertesz⁽⁵⁾ has recently pointed out some of the errors commonly occurring in the accumulation and presentation of data on stored material.

In these studies the authors have been interested in determining the absolute changes occurring in both pods and peas in storage and the transfer of material from one to the other. The method that seemed most

¹ Received for publication September 30, 1935.

² Professor of Chemistry and Chemist in the Experiment Station.

³ Professor of Truck Crops and Olericulturist in the Experiment Station.

⁴ Analytical Chemist, Division of Chemistry.

⁵ Superscript numbers in parentheses refer to papers in Literature Cited at the end of the paper.

accurate was to use some element that does not undergo any change in absolute amount during storage as a reference weight with which to compare those compounds that do undergo changes during storage.

The variety used in these studies was Laxton's Progress and the crop was grown at Davis in the spring of 1931.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Material for the master and storage samples was selected from a 25-kg field sample harvested in the early morning of the day the storage experiment was begun. The fruit (that is, the pods containing peas) was picked at the age customary for market peas. Care was used to harvest fruit as uniform in stage of maturity as possible. Each sample consisted of approximately 500 grams of fresh fruit. Eight samples were used for checks, and the average of these checks constituted what is hereafter called the master sample. These eight samples were shelled immediately after harvest, and the pods and peas preserved separately for analysis as previously described by Bisson and Jones.⁽¹⁾ To reduce the chance for errors due to loss of moisture, translocation, and transpiration occurring during preparation of the samples for storage, the remaining material was kept cool. All the samples for storage at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$ were prepared first, the samples of the 25° shelled series next, and the samples of the 25° unshelled series last. Twenty samples of shelled and twenty of unshelled fruit were used for the storage studies at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$; fifteen of each for the 25° series. Quintuplicate samples from each of the two series were removed at intervals as indicated in the tables. The fruits of the unshelled stored samples were shelled immediately after removal from the storage rooms. The pods and peas from both series were preserved separately for analysis.

The samples of peas and pods were analyzed for dry matter and some of its constituents according to methods given in an earlier paper.⁽¹⁾ Phosphorus and magnesium were determined volumetrically, and the results examined for uniformity. Phosphorus, which proved to be the most consistently uniform in percentage composition, was then chosen as the basis for calculating the absolute weights of the various components as indicated by Bisson and Jones.⁽²⁾ The average percentage of phosphorus in the peas of the eight check samples was 0.586 with a standard error of ± 0.006 . The average percentage of phosphorus in the pods of the eight check samples was 0.238 with a standard error of ± 0.004 .

METHODS OF CALCULATION

In calculating the results for the initial weight of dry matter from the experimental data, the authors assumed that a constant initial ratio of average weight of phosphorus to average weight of the total dry matter and likewise the same initial distribution of phosphorus between peas

TABLE 1
CORRECTED WEIGHT OF PHOSPHORUS, IN GRAMS, IN INITIAL SAMPLES

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Actual weight of phosphorus in sample	Correction, e^*	Corrected weight of phosphorus in initial sample	Hours in storage	Actual weight of phosphorus in sample	Correction, e^*	Corrected weight of phosphorus in initial sample
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series at 25° C					Unshelled series at 25° C			
Peas.....	0 22 70 112	m_1 0.2924 .2943 .2981 .3024	0.0000 + .0004 + .0036 + .0026	m_1 0.2924 .2939 .2945 .2998	0 20 65 111	m_1 0.2924 .3050 .3435 .3635	0.0000 + .0086 + .0422 + .0661	m_1 0.2924 .2964 .3013 .2974
Pods.....	0 22 70 112	m_2 .1024 .1026 .0995 0.1024	.0000 - .0004 - .0036 -0.0026	m_2 .1024 .1030 .1031 0.1050	0 20 65 111	m_2 .1024 .0952 .0633 0.0381	.0000 - .0086 - .0422 -0.0661	m_2 .1024 .1038 .1055 0.1042
Shelled series at 3½° C					Unshelled series at 3½° C			
Peas.....	0 46 95 143 188	m_1 0.2924 .2942 .2870 .2947 .2910	0.0000 + .0002 - .0031 + .0006 - .0005	m_1 0.2924 .2940 .2901 .2941 .2915	0 46 93 141 187	m_1 0.2924 .3057 .3053 .3100 .3070	0.0000 + .0049 + .0079 + .0102 + .0101	m_1 0.2924 .3008 .2974 .2998 .2969
Pods.....	0 46 95 143 188	m_2 .1024 .1028 .1047 .1025 0.1026	.0000 - .0002 + .0031 - .0006 +0.0005	m_2 .1024 .1030 .1016 .1031 0.1021	0 46 93 141 187	m_2 .1024 .1004 .0963 .0948 0.0939	.0000 - .0049 - .0079 - .0102 -0.0101	m_2 .1024 .1053 .1042 .1050 0.1040

* For meaning of e see page 147.

and pods existed in all the storage and master samples, within the limit of error of the procedure—an assumption justified by the uniformity of this ratio and the distribution in the eight separate check samples. The initial weight of dry matter was calculated from the ratio of the weight of phosphorus to the weight of dry matter in the master sample, and the calculated initial average weight of phosphorus in each of the storage samples.

Calculating Actual Average Weights of Phosphorus.—The actual average weights, n_1 and n_2 , of phosphorus present in the master sample and storage samples are given in table 1, columns 2 and 6. These values were obtained by multiplying the average percentages of phosphorus as given in columns 2 and 6 of table 11 by the corresponding actual average weights of dry matter as given in column 2 of tables 2, 3, 4, and 5, and dividing the quotient by 100. In the master sample the weight of dry

TABLE 2*
WEIGHT OF PHOSPHORUS AND DRY MATTER, IN GRAMS, OF PEAS AND PODS
STORED SHELLS AT 25° C

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Actual weight of dry matter after storage	Weight of phosphorus		Weight of dry matter			
			Actual	Corrected initial	Calculated initial	After storage of corrected initial sample	After storage of sample equal to master sample	Loss during storage of sample equal to master sample
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Peas		w_1	n_1	m_1	b_1	r_1	s_1	l_1
	0	49.9†	0.292	0.292	49.9†	49.9†	49.9†	0.0
	22	47.7	.294	.294	50.2	47.7	47.4	2.5
	70	46.3	.298	.295	50.4	45.8	45.4	4.5
	112	45.4	.302	.300	51.2	45.1	43.9	6.0
Pods		w_2	n_2	m_2	b_2	r_2	s_2	l_2
	0	43.0†	.102	.102	43.0†	43.0†	43.0†	0.0
	22	41.4	.103	.103	43.4	41.4	41.0	2.0
	70	38.6	.100	.103	43.4	39.8	39.4	3.6
	112	36.9	.102	.105	44.3	38.0	36.9	6.1
Peas and pods								
	0	92.9†	.394	.394	92.9†	92.9†	92.9†	0.0
	22	89.1	.397	.397	93.6	89.1	88.4	4.5
	70	84.9	.398	.398	93.8	85.6	84.8	8.1
	112	82.3	0.404	0.405	95.5	83.1	80.8	12.1

* Columns 1 through 3 are experimental data; 4 through 8, calculated results.

† Actual weight of dry matter in master sample.

matter in the shelled peas was 49.9 grams, of which 0.292 gram was phosphorus (table 2, cols. 2 and 3). The weight of dry matter in the pods of the master sample was 43.0 grams, of which 0.102 gram was phosphorus.

Calculating Initial Weights of Phosphorus.—The ratio of the weight of phosphorus in the peas to that in the pods of the master sample served as the basis for calculating the initial distribution of the phosphorus between peas and pods, or the initial weights of phosphorus in peas and pods, for all samples from their actual weights of phosphorus. The actual weight of phosphorus cannot be used directly as the basis for calculating the initial weight of dry matter because varying amounts of phosphorus compounds were translocated from pods to peas during the

time required to prepare the shelled samples for storage. Much larger amounts were transferred from pods to peas in the unshelled series during storage.

The equation by which the weight of phosphorus translocated from pods to peas was determined for each sample is $\frac{n_1 - e}{n_2 + e} = 2.855$, from which

$$e = 0.2594 n_1 - 0.7405 n_2 \quad 1$$

In this equation n_1 represents the actual weight of phosphorus in the peas, n_2 the actual weight of phosphorus in the pods, e the weight of

TABLE 3*
WEIGHT OF PHOSPHORUS AND DRY MATTER, IN GRAMS, OF PEAS AND PODS
STORED UNSHELLED AT 25° C

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Actual weight of dry matter after storage	Weight of phosphorus		Weight of dry matter		
			Actual	Corrected initial	Calculated initial	After storage of initial sample equal to master sample	Changes during storage of sample equal to master sample
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Peas.....		w_1	n_1	m_1	b_1	v_1	d_1
	0	49.9	0.292	0.292	49.9	49.9	0.0
	20	50.0	.305	.296	50.6	49.3	- 0.6
	65	55.9	.344	.301	51.4	54.2	+ 4.3
	111	57.9	.364	.297	50.8	56.9	+ 7.0
Pods.....		w_2	n_2	m_2	b_2	v_2	d_2
	0	43.0	.102	.102	43.0	43.0	0.0
	20	41.0	.095	.104	43.8	40.2	- 2.8
	65	30.7	.063	.106	44.7	29.5	-13.5
	111	25.1	.038	.104	43.8	24.6	-18.4
Peas and pods.....							
	0	92.9	.394	.394	92.9	92.9	0.0
	20	91.0	.400	.400	94.4	89.5	- 3.4
	65	86.6	.407	.407	96.1	83.7	- 9.2
	111	83.0	0.402	0.401	94.6	81.5	-11.4

* Columns 1 through 3 are experimental data; 4 through 7, calculated results.

phosphorus translocated during the preliminary preparation period for the shelled series or during the storage period for the unshelled series. The constant 2.855 is the ratio of the weight of phosphorus in the peas to that in the pods of the master samples, table 1, column 2. A specific application of this equation, using the data for the 65-hour 25° C unshelled stored sample, gave by substitution $e = 0.2594 \times 0.3435 - 0.7405 \times 0.0633$ and a value for e of 0.0422 gram, the weight of the phosphorus translocated. The values of e were subtracted algebraically from the corresponding actual weights of phosphorus in the peas and

Pods in columns 2 and 6 of table 1, and the corrected weights of phosphorus recorded as m_1 and m_2 in columns 4 and 8 of table 1. The initial weights of phosphorus are expressed to one place beyond the significant figure used in later calculations, simply to indicate that for some samples the amount translocated was not significant. The values of n_1 and n_2 and m_1 and m_2 used in all later calculations were expressed to three decimal places and are recorded in columns 3 and 4 of tables 2 to 5 inclusive.

TABLE 4*
WEIGHT OF PHOSPHORUS AND DRY MATTER, IN GRAMS, OF PEAS AND PODS
STORED SHELLS AT $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Actual weight of dry matter after storage	Weight of phosphorus		Weight of dry matter			
			Actual	Corrected initial	Calculated initial	After storage of corrected initial sample	After storage of sample equal to master sample	Loss during storage of sample equal to master sample
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Peas		w_1	n_1	m_1	b_1	r_1	s_1	l_1
	0	49.9	0.292	0.292	49.9	49.9	49.9	0.0
	46	49.3	.294	.294	50.2	49.3	49.0	0.9
	95	47.6	.287	.290	49.5	48.1	48.4	1.5
	143	48.1	.295	.294	50.2	47.9	47.6	2.3
	188	47.4	.291	.291	49.7	47.4	47.6	2.3
Pods		w_2	n_2	m_2	b_2	r_2	s_2	l_2
	0	43.0	.102	.102	43.0	43.0	43.0	0.0
	46	42.2	.103	.103	43.4	42.2	41.8	1.2
	95	42.7	.105	.102	43.0	41.5	41.5	1.5
	143	42.0	.102	.103	43.4	42.4	42.0	1.0
	188	42.0	.103	.102	43.0	41.6	41.6	1.4
Peas and pods								
	0	92.9	.394	.394	92.9	92.9	92.9	0.0
	46	91.5	.397	.397	93.6	91.5	90.8	2.1
	95	90.3	.392	.392	92.5	89.6	89.9	3.0
	143	90.1	.397	.397	93.6	90.3	89.6	3.3
	188	89.4	0.394	0.393	92.7	89.0	89.2	3.7

* Columns 1 through 3 are experimental data; 4 through 8, calculated results.

Calculating Initial Weights of Dry Matter for Both Shelled and Unshelled Series.—The initial weights of dry matter b_1 , in all storage samples of peas were then calculated by a proportion; the calculated initial weight of phosphorus m_1 in any sample was multiplied by a ratio which was the weight of dry matter (49.9 grams) divided by the weight of phosphorus (0.292 gram) in the peas of the master sample, as given by the equation

$$b_1 = m_1 \times \frac{49.9}{0.292} = m_1 \times 170.8 \quad 2$$

The initial weights of dry matter in the pods b_2 were calculated by an equation of similar form, using the corresponding data for pods. The

ratio used was $\frac{43.0}{0.102} = 421.5$. Equation 2 was derived on the assumption

that the ratio of the initial weight of dry matter to the calculated initial weight of phosphorus in all samples was the same as that in the master sample for both peas and pods. The calculated initial weights are not comparable with the weights of dry matter given in column 2 of tables

TABLE 5*
WEIGHT OF PHOSPHORUS AND DRY MATTER, IN GRAMS, OF PEAS AND PODS
STORED UNSHELLED AT $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Actual weight of dry matter after storage	Weight of phosphorus		Weight of dry matter		
			Actual	Corrected initial	Calculated initial	After storage of initial sample equal to master sample	Changes during storage of sample equal to master sample
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Peas.....		w_1	m_1	m_1	b_1	v_1	d_1
	0	49.9	0.292	0.292	49.9	49.9	0.0
	46	51.5	.306	.301	51.4	50.0	+0.1
	93	51.3	.305	.297	50.7	50.4	+0.5
	141	52.3	.310	.300	51.2	50.9	+1.0
	187	51.7	.307	.297	50.7	50.8	+0.9
Pods.....		w_2	m_2	m_2	b_2	v_2	d_2
	0	43.0	.102	.102	43.0	43.0	0.0
	46	41.8	.100	.105	44.3	40.6	-2.4
	93	40.6	.096	.104	43.8	39.8	-3.2
	141	39.3	.095	.105	44.3	38.2	-4.8
	187	38.8	.094	.104	43.8	38.0	-5.0
Peas and pods.							
	0	92.9	.394	.394	92.9	92.9	0.0
	46	93.3	.406	.406	95.7	90.6	-2.3
	93	91.9	.401	.401	94.5	90.2	-2.7
	141	91.6	.405	.405	95.5	89.1	-3.8
	187	90.5	0.401	0.401	94.5	88.8	-4.1

* Columns 1 through 3 are experimental data; 4 through 7, calculated results.

2 to 5 inclusive, because these are weights of dry matter which resulted after changes had taken place during storage.

The calculations for the 112-hour sample stored shelled at 25°C (table 2) gave $0.300 \times 170.8 = 51.2$ grams for the initial weight of dry matter in the peas and $0.105 \times 421.5 = 44.3$ grams for the initial weight of dry matter in the pods. The initial weight of dry matter in the whole fruit was therefore 95.5 grams. The total weight of dry matter in the whole fruit of the master sample, however, was 92.9 grams. The initial weights of dry matter in the samples of all the storage series, calculated in this manner, are recorded in column 5 of tables 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Calculation of Final Results for the Shelled Series: Weight of Dry Matter.—The final weights of dry matter, or those resulting after storage from samples of shelled peas whose dry weights were equal to the calculated initial dry weights given in column 5, tables 2 and 4, were obtained by substituting values in the equation

$$r_1 = w_1 \times \frac{m_1}{n_1}, \quad 3$$

in which for any sample of the shelled series, r_1 represents the calculated dry weight after storage, w_1 the actual weight of dry matter, m_1 the initial weight of phosphorus, and n_1 the actual weight of phosphorus. For example, with the 112-hour shelled sample stored at 25° C (table 2), the result for peas was $r_1 = 45.4 \times \frac{0.300}{0.302} = 45.1$ grams; by using a similar

equation and the data from the corresponding pods from table 2, the dry weight for the pods after storage was $r_2 = 36.9 \times \frac{0.105}{0.102} = 38.0$ grams.

These values and their sum are recorded in column 6, table 2. The remaining values in column 6, tables 2 and 4, were calculated similarly. On account of the fact, however, that the actual dry weights, w_1 and w_2 , include a weight of dry matter which resulted from translocation during the preparatory period, and for which an accurate correction cannot be made because the phosphorus and dry matter were probably not translocated in the same ratio as present initially in the peas or pods, certain of the values of r_1 and r_2 may be in error by from 1 to 1½ per cent, comparable with that in the reference element. Likewise the results of all other calculations based on w_1 and w_2 would possess a similar error.

Decrease in Weight of Dry Matter in Shelled Series.—If the results for r_1 or r_2 be subtracted from the values b_1 or b_2 , the losses in weight of dry matter which occurred in the sample during storage may be obtained. These losses, however, cannot be compared directly because they are from samples having different initial dry weights. Losses can only be compared when they are calculated for samples having the same initial dry weights.

Weight of Dry Matter of Samples Having Same Initial Dry Weight, Shelled Series.—The dry weights after storage and the losses which occurred were recalculated for all samples of peas and pods on the basis of constant initial weights of dry matter equal to the weight of dry matter in the peas and pods of the master sample. The equation used was

$$s_1 = 0.292 \times \frac{w_1}{n_1} \quad 4$$

Here s_1 is the calculated final weight of dry matter for the peas of the shelled series equal in initial weight to the master sample; w_1 and n_1 have the same meaning as in equation 3; and the constant 0.292 is the weight of phosphorus in the peas of the master sample. Using the data for the shelled 112-hour storage sample of peas (table 2) gave a value for s_1 equal to $45.4 \times \frac{0.292}{0.302} = 43.9$ grams and for the corresponding pods a value for s_2 of $36.9 \times \frac{0.102}{0.102} = 36.9$ grams. The same values for s_1 would

be obtained by using m_1 in place of n_1 , and r_1 in place of w_1 , where m_1 and r_1 have the same meaning as in equation 3. The results thus obtained represent the weights of dry matter after storage for shelled peas and pods from samples containing initially 49.9 grams and 43.0 grams of dry matter respectively. Similar calculations were made for all the samples of the shelled series, and the results are recorded in column 7 of tables 2 and 4. The sums of the corresponding weights of peas and pods are given in the same tables.

Decrease in Weight of Dry Matter of Samples Having Same Initial Dry Weight, Shelled Series.—The losses, l_1 , for peas were calculated for the storage samples by subtracting the weights of dry matter for peas s_1 given in column 7, tables 2 and 4, in each case from the weight (49.9 grams) of dry matter for peas in the master sample according to the equation

$$l_1 = 49.9 - s_1 \quad 5$$

The losses l_2 for pods were similarly calculated. These differences, together with the over-all losses from corresponding samples, are recorded in column 8 of tables 2 and 4.

Calculation of Final Results for the Unshelled Series.—The weights of phosphorus present initially in the peas and pods of the unshelled storage samples were calculated by the same method as that used for the shelled series. The magnitude of the correction e , obtained with equation 1, and the initial weight of phosphorus for each sample are recorded in columns 7 and 8 respectively, in table 1. By comparison of the values of e for the actual samples given in columns 3 and 7 of table 1, the weight of phosphorus translocated during storage from pods to peas in the unshelled series is shown to be considerably greater than the amounts translocated during the preparation of the samples of the shelled series.

The weights of dry matter initially present in the peas and pods were calculated according to equation 2, and the results are given in column 5 of tables 3 and 5.

The weights of dry matter after storage from samples containing peas whose dry weights v_1 were initially equal to that of the master sample were calculated from the initial weight of phosphorus m_1 , the actual weight of dry matter determined for the sample w_1 , and the weight of phosphorus in the master sample 0.292 gram. The equation used was

$$v_1 = 0.292 \times \frac{w_1}{m_1} \quad 6$$

The equation is based on the assumption that, for unshelled samples differing by only a small weight of initial dry matter, the changes in dry matter occurring during identical storage conditions will produce changes in actual dry weights and also translocations of phosphorus proportional to the initial dry weights of the samples or to the initial phosphorus content. Substituting the data given in table 3 for the peas

from the unshelled sample stored 111 hours gave $57.9 \times \frac{0.292}{0.297} = 56.9$

grams, and by similar calculation the weight of dry matter v_2 for the corresponding pods after storage was found to be 24.6 grams. Similar calculations were made for all the remaining samples of the unshelled series, and the results are tabulated in column 6, tables 3 and 5.

The changes in weight of dry matter d_1 in the peas were calculated by subtracting the weight of dry matter in the master sample (49.9 grams) from each of the values v_1 given for peas in column 6, tables 3 and 5, according to the equation $d_1 = v_1 - 49.9$. The differences are shown in column 7, tables 3 and 5. By a similar calculation the changes in weight of dry matter d_2 of the pods were obtained by subtracting the weight of the dry matter in the master sample (43.0 grams) from each of the dry weights of the pods v_2 . These results, and their sums are given in column 7, tables 3 and 5.

Calculation of the Weight of Phosphorus Translocated in Unshelled Samples of the Same Initial Weight of Dry Matter.—The weight of phosphorus present in peas of the unshelled series after storage was calculated by use of the equation

$$p_1 = 0.292 \times \frac{n_1}{m_1} \quad 7$$

In this equation p_1 represents the weight of phosphorus in peas after storage, 0.292 is the weight of phosphorus in the master sample of peas, and n_1 and m_1 have same meaning as in equations 1, 2, and 3. A similar calculation for p_2 was made for the pods. The values for p_1 and p_2 are recorded in column 7 of table 11.

The changes in weight of phosphorus in the unshelled peas (c_1) that

occurred during storage was obtained by subtracting the weight of phosphorus, 0.292 gram, in the master sample of peas from the values of p_1 , as

$$c_1 = p_1 - 0.292 \qquad 8$$

Similarly the changes in weight of phosphorus (c_2) in the pods was calculated. The differences are recorded in column 8 of table 11.

Calculation of the Weights of Some Constituents in the Storage Samples.—The calculated weights of dry matter (s and v) given for the shelled peas and pods in column 7 of tables 2 and 4 and for unshelled peas and pods in column 6 of tables 3 and 5 were multiplied by the corresponding percentages of the various constituents (given in cols. 2 and 6, tables 6 to 12), and the quotients divided by 100. The results appear in columns 3 and 7 of tables 6 to 12 inclusive.

RESULTS

With each constituent determined in these tests, results are considered separately for shelled and unshelled samples of peas and of pods at each storage temperature.

Dry Matter.—In *shelled* peas stored at 25° C there was a rapid loss in weight of dry matter (table 2, col. 8), which after 112 hours amounted to 12 per cent of the original dry weight. No doubt this decrease was caused mainly by loss of certain materials in respiration, but to some extent also by the condensation of sugars to polysaccharides. The decrease of dry matter in the pods amounts to 14.2 per cent after 112 hours, the rate of loss being somewhat higher than for the peas. When the fruit was stored *unshelled*, material was rapidly translocated from pods to peas (table 3, col. 7). Instead of decreasing, the weight of dry matter of the peas increased. The translocation rate, being greater than the respiratory rate, caused an actual increase in weight of dry matter. It was not difficult to calculate an approximate value for the amount of material translocated. A loss of 18.4 grams (table 3, col. 7) of dry matter occurred in the pods stored unshelled for 111 hours. If 6.1 grams (table 2, col. 8) of this loss is assumed to be the result of respiration, then the additional loss of 12.3 grams from the pods must have been translocated. Or the amount of translocation can be determined from the changes in weight of dry matter of the peas. Respiration caused a loss of about 6.0 grams after 112 hours (table 2, col. 8). Not only was this loss balanced by translocation of material from the pods, but there accumulated a surplus of 6.8 grams above the amount present in the initial sample. This makes a total of 12.8 grams of dry matter that was translocated—a

figure which is in fair agreement with 12.3 grams, the amount found to have been translocated when the pods were used for the calculation.

When *shelled* peas and pods were stored at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ C, the same trend in the dry-weight curves was evident as occurred at 25° , except that the rate of loss was much slower (table 4, col. 8). The over-all loss in weight of dry matter at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ was 4 per cent after 188 hours; at 25° , 13.2 per cent after only 112 hours. When the fruit was stored *unshelled*, there was only a very slight increase in the weight of the dry matter of the peas (table 5, col. 7); that is, a little more dry matter moved to the peas than was lost from them by respiration. The amount of dry matter translocated can be approximately calculated as was done for the 25° C samples. The dry-weight loss of the peas from respiration after 188 hours was about 2.3 grams (table 4, col. 8). This loss was balanced by translocation; and there was, in addition, a surplus of 0.9 gram over the check, so that a total of 3.2 grams was translocated in 187 hours. If the pods are used to calculate the transfer of dry matter, the result is 3.6 grams. The amount translocated at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ C was about one-fourth that at 25° C.

Carbon.—The changes in weight of carbon at 25° C closely paralleled the dry-weight changes (table 6). The carbon loss, no doubt, was almost entirely through respiration rather than volatilization. *Shelled* peas stored for 112 hours gave a loss of 2.7 grams of carbon, but there was very little change in percentage because the average rate of loss of other dry-matter constituents kept the ratio of dry matter to carbon about constant. The changes in percentage of carbon in the pods stored *shelled* are probably not significant. A decrease of 2.3 grams in weight of carbon after 112 hours of storage was no doubt caused almost entirely by respiration, the average rate of loss being about the same as that in the peas. From the pods, 12.5 per cent of the carbon was lost after 112 hours; from the peas, 12.4 per cent. For the *unshelled* peas stored at 25° C the percentage of carbon varied but little over a period of 111 hours. This means that materials other than carbon accumulated in the peas at about the same rate as the carbon. Although the percentage remained constant, there was an increase in weight of 2.9 grams. In the *unshelled* pods the percentage of carbon remained nearly constant during storage, but 8.1 grams was lost by respiration and translocation. The over-all loss of carbon was about the same for the *shelled* and the *unshelled*: the former lost 5.0 grams and the latter 5.2 grams during the storage period.

At $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ C the percentage of carbon in the *shelled* peas remained fairly constant, but after 188 hours there was a loss in weight of 0.8

gram, or about 3.68 per cent of the total (table 6). In the pods the percentage of carbon also remained fairly constant, but there was a loss of 0.4 gram in weight. In the *unshelled* peas there appeared to be a

TABLE 6
CARBON IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Carbon, per cent	Weight of carbon, grams		Hours in storage	Carbon, per cent	Weight of carbon, grams	
			Corrected, after storage	Loss, during storage			Corrected, after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	43.7	21.8	0.0	0	43.7	21.8	0.0
	22	44.1	20.9	0.9	20	43.9	21.6	-0.2
	70	43.5	19.8	2.0	65	43.8	23.7	+1.9
	112	43.6	19.1	2.7	111	43.6	24.7	+2.9
Pods	0	42.9	18.4	0.0	0	42.9	18.4	0.0
	22	44.2	18.1	0.3	20	43.3	17.4	-1.0
	70	43.6	17.2	1.2	65	42.8	12.7	-5.7
	112	43.5	16.1	2.3	111	42.0	10.3	-8.1
Peas and pods	0	40.2	0.0	0	40.2	0.0
	22	39.0	1.2	20	39.0	-1.2
	70	37.0	3.2	65	36.4	-3.8
	112	35.2	5.0	111	35.0	-5.2
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	43.7	21.8	0.0	0	43.7	21.8	0.0
	46	44.3	21.7	0.1	46	44.6	22.3	+0.5
	95	44.5	21.5	0.3	93	44.2	22.3	+0.5
	143	44.2	21.0	0.8	141	44.9	22.9	+1.1
	188	44.1	21.0	0.8	187	44.5	22.6	+0.8
Pods	0	42.9	18.4	0.0	0	42.9	18.5	0.0
	46	43.6	18.2	0.2	46	43.2	17.5	-1.0
	95	43.8	18.2	0.2	93	43.6	17.4	-1.1
	143	43.6	18.3	0.1	141	43.4	16.6	-1.9
	188	43.3	18.0	0.4	187	43.2	16.4	-2.1
Peas and pods	0	40.2	0.0	0	40.3	0.0
	46	39.9	0.3	46	39.8	-0.5
	95	39.7	0.5	93	39.7	-0.6
	143	39.3	0.9	141	39.5	-0.8
	188	39.0	1.2	187	39.0	-1.3

slight increase in the percentage of carbon, accompanied by an actual increase in weight of carbon of 0.8 gram. In the pods the percentage of carbon remained fairly constant, but there was an actual loss of 2.1 grams because of respiration and translocation. The over-all loss of

carbon was about the same in both the shelled and unshelled lots, being 1.2 grams for the former and 1.3 grams for the latter.

Total Sugars.—A rapid decrease occurred in the percentage of total

TABLE 7
TOTAL SUGARS IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Total sugars, per cent	Weight of total sugars, grams		Hours in storage	Total sugars, per cent	Weight of total sugars, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Loss during storage			Corrected after storage	Loss during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	25.60	12.8	0.0	0	25.60	12.8	0.0
	22	15.24	7.2	5.6	20	18.40	9.1	3.7
	70	3.66	1.7	11.1	65	8.78	4.8	8.0
	112	3.38	1.5	11.3	111	5.00	2.8	10.0
Pods	0	28.7	12.3	0.0	0	28.70	12.4	0.0
	22	27.0	11.1	1.2	20	28.00	11.3	1.1
	70	22.8	9.0	3.3	65	16.20	4.8	7.6
	112	18.4	6.8	5.5	111	5.89	1.5	10.9
Peas and pods	0	25.1	0.0	0	25.2	0.0
	22	18.3	6.8	20	20.4	4.8
	70	10.7	14.4	65	9.6	15.6
	112	8.3	16.8	111	4.3	20.9
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	25.6	12.8	0.0	0	25.6	12.8	0.0
	46	23.3	11.4	1.4	46	23.3	11.7	1.1
	95	21.9	10.6	2.2	93	24.2	12.2	0.6
	143	17.8	8.5	4.3	141	22.7	11.6	1.2
	188	16.3	7.8	5.0	187	22.6	11.5	1.3
Pods	0	28.7	12.3	0.0	0	28.7	12.4	0.0
	46	29.2	12.2	0.1	46	27.4	11.1	1.3
	95	28.7	11.9	0.4	93	25.6	10.2	2.2
	143	28.0	11.8	0.5	141	23.7	9.1	3.3
	188	26.2	10.9	1.4	187	22.5	8.6	3.8
Peas and pods	0	25.1	0.0	0	25.2	0.0
	46	23.6	1.5	46	22.8	2.4
	95	22.5	2.6	93	22.4	2.8
	143	20.3	4.8	141	20.7	4.5
	188	18.7	6.4	187	20.1	5.1

sugars in the *shelled* peas stored at 25° C (table 7). The weight of total sugars also decreased rapidly; of the initial amount only 11.6 per cent remained after 112 hours. Jones and Bisson⁽¹⁾ and Boswell⁽³⁾ have shown that the sugar in the peas reacts like sucrose during the analysis. The

loss of total dry weight in shelled peas was 6.00 grams; that of the total sugars, 11.3 grams. As the decrease in the total sugars exceeded that in the dry weight by 5.3 grams, the loss of sugars can be caused only partly by respiration; there must therefore be a transformation into other substances. In pods stored shelled there was a decrease in the percentage as well as the weight of sugar. As the dry-weight loss was 6.1 grams (table 2) and that of the total sugars 5.6 grams, evidently compounds other than sugar—perhaps starch and other polysaccharides—are hydrolyzed to sugar and used in respiration. Peas stored *unshelled* had a higher percentage as well as a greater weight of sugars than those shelled for each of the periods, a phenomenon that can be explained by the translocation of sugars from the pods. In the pods the percentage as well as the weight of total sugars decreased very rapidly. In the shelled pods 45 per cent of the initial amount of sugar was lost after 112 hours; in the unshelled, 88 per cent.

In peas and pods stored *shelled* at $31\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ C, there was a decrease in the percentage as well as in the weight of total sugars (table 7). The shelled peas lost sugar more rapidly than the shelled pods. In the former, 61 per cent of the total sugar still remained after 188 hours of storage as compared with 89.0 per cent in the latter. In the *unshelled* peas and pods there was also a decrease in the percentage and weight of total sugars.

Starch.—In the *shelled* peas stored at 25° C both the percentage and the weight of starch increased until the third day; thereafter a decrease occurred (table 8). In the shelled pods there was a decrease during the entire storage period in both the percentage and weight of starch. In the *unshelled* peas there was an increase, which was considerably higher than in the shelled, and continued to the end of the storage period, no doubt because of the translocation and condensation of sugars. In the unshelled pods the decrease in percentage and weight of starch was about the same as in the shelled.

In the *shelled* peas stored at $31\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ C (table 8) there was a very slight increase in the percentage as well as in the weight of starch, whereas in the shelled pods there was some decrease. In the *unshelled* peas and pods the same trends occurred as in the shelled.

Boswell⁽⁶⁾ showed that the most pronounced change occurring in the maturation of peas on the vine was the increase in the percentage of starch and other polysaccharides. Bisson and Jones,⁽⁷⁾ studying the changes occurring in the fruit during development, found that fruit left on the vine after the best marketable stage showed a considerable reduction in percentage as well as absolute weight of sucrose for a con-

siderable time and, during this same period, a pronounced increase in the percentage as well as the absolute weight of starch and other acid-hydrolyzable polysaccharides.

TABLE 8
STARCH IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Starch, per cent	Weight of starch, grams		Hours in storage	Starch, per cent	Weight of starch, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	14.5	7.24	0.00	0	14.5	7.24	0.00
	22	18.5	8.77	+1.53	20	18.3	9.02	+1.78
	70	23.4	10.62	+3.38	65	23.3	12.63	+5.39
	112	20.6	9.04	+1.80	111	22.7	12.87	+5.63
Pods	0	5.26	2.26	0.00	0	5.26	2.26	0.00
	22	3.44	1.41	-0.85	20	4.24	1.70	-0.56
	70	1.42	0.56	-1.70	65	1.98	0.58	-1.68
	112	1.05	0.39	-1.87	111	1.21	0.30	-1.96
Peas and pods	0	9.50	0.00	0	9.50	0.00
	22	10.18	+0.68	20	10.72	+1.22
	70	11.18	+1.68	65	13.21	+3.71
	112	9.43	-0.07	111	13.17	+3.67
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	14.5	7.24	0.00	0	14.5	7.24	0.00
	46	15.2	7.45	+0.21	46	14.8	7.40	+0.16
	95	14.5	7.02	-0.22	93	14.8	7.46	+0.22
	143	17.0	8.09	+0.85	141	14.9	7.58	+0.34
	188	16.4	7.81	+0.57	187	15.2	7.72	+0.48
Pods	0	5.26	2.26	0.00	0	5.26	2.26	0.00
	46	4.52	1.89	-0.37	46	4.42	1.80	-0.46
	95	4.14	1.72	-0.54	93	4.39	1.75	-0.51
	143	3.99	1.68	-0.58	141	4.11	1.57	-0.69
	188	4.11	1.71	-0.55	187	4.27	1.62	-0.64
Peas and pods	0	9.50	0.00	0	9.50	0.00
	46	9.34	-0.16	46	9.20	-0.30
	95	8.74	-0.76	93	9.21	-0.29
	143	9.77	+0.27	141	9.15	-0.35
	188	9.52	+0.02	187	9.34	-0.16

The data at hand show that fruit harvested from the vine at edible maturity and stored at 25° C underwent the same changes for a time as fruit attached to the vine. The soluble solids moving from the pods to the peas are chiefly sugars, some of which are condensed to form higher

polysaccharides, producing a definite increase in the percentage as well as the weight of starch. Condensation occurred in the shelled as well as the unshelled peas except that in the former there was no translocation

TABLE 9
CRUDE FIBER IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Crude fiber, per cent	Weight of crude fiber, grams		Hours in storage	Crude fiber, per cent	Weight of crude fiber, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	8.63	4.31	0.00	0	8.63	4.31	0.00
	22	9.83	4.66	+0.35	20	9.67	4.77	+0.46
	70	10.74	4.88	+0.57	65	9.91	5.37	+1.06
	112	11.25	4.94	+0.63	111	9.60	5.44	+1.13
Pods	0	18.1	7.78	0.00	0	18.1	7.78	0.00
	22	19.3	7.91	+0.13	20	19.2	7.72	-0.06
	70	20.9	8.23	+0.45	65	25.8	7.61	-0.17
	112	22.4	8.27	+0.49	111	31.2	7.68	-0.10
Peas and pods	0	12.09	0.00	0	12.09	0.00
	22	12.57	+0.48	20	12.49	+0.40
	70	13.11	+1.02	65	12.98	+0.89
	112	13.21	+1.12	111	13.12	+1.03
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	8.63	4.31	0.00	0	8.63	4.31	0.00
	46	9.15	4.48	+0.17	46	9.02	4.51	+0.20
	95	9.52	4.61	+0.30	93	8.99	4.53	+0.22
	143	9.85	4.69	+0.38	141	8.97	4.57	+0.26
	188	9.85	4.69	+0.38	187	9.15	4.65	+0.34
Pods	0	18.1	7.78	0.00	0	18.1	7.78	0.00
	46	18.2	7.61	-0.17	46	18.9	7.67	-0.11
	95	18.5	7.68	-0.10	93	19.9	7.92	+0.14
	143	18.7	7.85	+0.07	141	20.6	7.87	+0.09
	188	19.1	7.95	+0.17	187	20.8	7.90	+0.12
Peas and pods	0	12.09	0.00	0	12.09	0.00
	46	12.09	0.00	46	12.18	+0.09
	95	12.29	+0.20	93	12.45	+0.36
	143	12.54	+0.45	141	12.44	+0.35
	188	12.64	+0.55	187	12.55	+0.46

and therefore less condensation. As these data show, peas do not lose their power to synthesize starch even though the fruit has been severed from the vine or the peas removed from the pod. These results are not strictly in accord with those of Kertesz⁽⁵⁾ who found no increase of starch in green shelled peas.

Crude Fiber.—The *shelled* peas stored at 25° C showed an increase in both the percentage and the weight of crude fiber (table 9). The percentage increase was more marked because of loss in dry weight from respiration. The percentage and weight of crude fiber in the pods also increased, because of loss in dry matter rather than because of any large increase in the weight of crude fiber. In the *unshelled* peas the increase in percentage of crude fiber is less than in the shelled because translocation increases the actual weight of dry matter. For the same storage period the percentage was always less in the unshelled peas even though the weight of crude fiber was actually greater. The unshelled pods gave the most marked increase in the percentage of crude fiber, though the actual weight of crude fiber remained nearly constant. This pronounced increase in percentage was caused by dry-weight losses in translocation and respiration. These percentage data would be rather misleading as to the actual changes that occurred if the weight data were not also considered.

In the *shelled* peas stored at 3½° C the percentage as well as the weight of crude fiber increased slightly (table 9). In the shelled pods the increase in percentage and weight of fiber was even less than in the peas. In the *unshelled* peas the percentage increase in the fiber was less than in the shelled because of the influx of material from the pods; the weight increase was about the same as for the shelled. The unshelled pods showed an increase in percentage of fiber, caused chiefly by a loss of materials other than crude fiber. The increase in weight was about the same as for the shelled pods.

Nitrogen.—In both the peas and pods stored *shelled* at 25° C there was a slight increase in the percentage of nitrogen, caused no doubt by the decreasing weight of dry matter (table 10). There was a small decrease in the weight of nitrogen. In the *unshelled* peas both the percentage and weight of nitrogen increased slightly; the influx of nitrogenous material was sufficiently rapid to decrease the ratio of dry matter to nitrogen, thereby causing an increase in nitrogen percentage. The decreasing percentage of nitrogen in the unshelled pods means that there was a more rapid loss of nitrogen than of dry matter, thereby causing an increase in the ratio of dry matter to nitrogen. More than one-half of the nitrogen present in the initial sample of pods was lost in 111 hours. The over-all loss of nitrogen from the entire system must represent losses to the atmosphere in some form and was about the same for the shelled and unshelled material.

In both peas and pods stored *shelled* at 3½° C there was very little change in the percentage of nitrogen after 188 hours (table 10), because

the amount of dry matter lost was so slight that it hardly affected the ratio of dry matter to nitrogen. Here, again, there seems to have been a slight loss of nitrogen to the atmosphere. In the *unshelled* peas stored

TABLE 10
NITROGEN IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Nitrogen, per cent	Weight of nitrogen, grams		Hours in storage	Nitrogen, per cent	Weight of nitrogen, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	4.79	2.39	0.00	0	4.79	2.39	0.00
	22	4.93	2.34	-0.05	20	4.94	2.43	+0.04
	70	5.13	2.32	-0.07	65	4.97	2.69	+0.30
	112	5.28	2.32	-0.07	111	5.05	2.86	+0.47
Pods	0	2.43	1.04	0.00	0	2.43	1.04	0.00
	22	2.47	1.01	-0.03	20	2.41	0.97	-0.07
	70	2.59	1.02	-0.02	65	2.23	0.66	-0.38
	112	2.67	0.99	-0.05	111	1.88	0.46	-0.58
Peas and pods	0	3.43	0.00	0	3.43	0.00
	22	3.35	-0.08	20	3.40	-0.03
	70	3.34	-0.09	65	3.35	-0.08
	112	3.31	-0.12	111	3.32	-0.11
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	4.79	2.39	0.00	0	4.79	2.39	0.00
	46	4.76	2.33	-0.06	46	4.67	2.34	-0.05
	95	4.83	2.34	-0.05	93	4.65	2.34	-0.05
	143	4.96	2.36	-0.03	141	4.64	2.36	-0.03
	188	4.93	2.35	-0.04	187	4.58	2.33	-0.06
Pods	0	2.43	1.04	0.00	0	2.43	1.04	0.00
	46	2.54	1.06	+0.02	46	2.38	0.97	-0.07
	95	2.39	0.99	-0.05	93	2.39	0.95	-0.09
	143	2.44	1.02	-0.02	141	2.48	0.95	-0.09
	188	2.41	1.00	-0.04	187	2.52	0.96	-0.08
Peas and pods	0	3.43	0.00	0	3.43	0.00
	46	3.39	-0.04	46	3.31	-0.12
	95	3.33	-0.10	93	3.29	-0.14
	143	3.38	-0.05	141	3.31	-0.12
	188	3.35	-0.08	187	3.29	-0.14

at 3½° C there appears to have been a slight decrease in the percentage of nitrogen, and little or no change in its weight, a condition indicating that very little or no translocation of nitrogenous constituents occurred at this temperature. The decrease in percentage was probably caused by

TABLE 11
PHOSPHORUS IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Phosphorus, per cent	Weight of phosphorus, grams		Hours in storage	Phosphorus, per cent	Weight of phosphorus, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	0.586	p_1	c_1	0	0.586	p_1	c_1
	22	0.617	0.292	0.000	20	0.610	0.292	0.000
	70	0.644	0.292	0.000	65	0.615	0.301	+0.009
	112	0.666	0.292	0.000	111	0.628	0.333	+0.041
Pods	0	0.238	p_2	c_2	0	0.238	p_2	c_2
	22	0.248	0.102	0.000	20	0.232	0.102	0.000
	70	0.258	0.102	0.000	65	0.206	0.093	-0.009
	112	0.277	0.102	0.000	111	0.152	0.061	-0.041
Peas and pods	0	0.394	0.000	0	0.394	0.000
	22	0.394	0.000	20	0.394	0.000
	70	0.394	0.000	65	0.394	0.000
	112	0.394	0.000	111	0.394	0.000
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	0.586	p_1	c_1	0	0.586	p_1	c_1
	46	0.596	0.292	0.000	46	0.594	0.292	0.000
	95	0.603	0.292	0.000	93	0.595	0.297	+0.005
	143	0.613	0.292	0.000	141	0.593	0.300	+0.008
	188	0.614	0.292	0.000	187	0.593	0.302	+0.010
Pods	0	0.238	p_2	c_2	0	0.238	p_2	c_2
	46	0.244	0.102	0.000	46	0.240	0.102	0.000
	95	0.245	0.102	0.000	93	0.237	0.097	-0.005
	143	0.244	0.102	0.000	141	0.237	0.094	-0.008
	188	0.244	0.102	0.000	187	0.241	0.092	-0.010
Peas and pods	0	0.394	0.000	0	0.394	0.000
	46	0.394	0.000	46	0.394	0.000
	95	0.394	0.000	93	0.394	0.000
	143	0.394	0.000	141	0.394	0.000
	188	0.394	0.000	187	0.394	0.000

an influx of dry matter together with an actual loss in weight of nitrogen. The pods showed a slight increase in the percentage but a decrease in the weight of nitrogen. The increase in percentage must be explained by a loss in weight of dry matter. The over-all loss of nitrogen appears to have been higher from the unshelled than from the shelled material.

Phosphorus.—In both peas and pods stored *shelled* at 25° C there was

an increase in the percentage of phosphorus because the respiratory losses decreased the ratio of dry matter to phosphorus (table 11). The corrected weight of phosphorus for the peas has been kept constant

TABLE 12
MAGNESIUM IN PEAS AND PODS

Part of fruit	Hours in storage	Magnesium, per cent	Weight of magnesium, grams		Hours in storage	Magnesium, per cent	Weight of magnesium, grams	
			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage			Corrected after storage	Changes during storage
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shelled series 25° C					Unshelled series 25° C			
Peas	0	0.164	0.082	0.000	0	0.164	0.082	0.000
	22	0.167	0.079	-0.003	20	0.168	0.083	+0.001
	70	0.175	0.079	-0.003	65	0.170	0.092	+0.010
	112	0.179	0.079	-0.003	111	0.176	0.100	+0.018
Pods	0	0.481	0.207	0.000	0	0.481	0.207	0.000
	22	0.495	0.203	-0.004	20	0.509	0.205	-0.002
	70	0.524	0.206	-0.001	65	0.618	0.182	-0.025
	112	0.564	0.208	+0.001	111	0.729	0.180	-0.027
Peas and pods	0	0.289	0.000	0	0.289	0.000
	22	0.282	-0.007	20	0.288	-0.001
	70	0.285	-0.004	65	0.274	-0.015
	112	0.287	-0.002	111	0.280	-0.009
Shelled series 3½° C					Unshelled series 3½° C			
Peas	0	0.164	0.082	0.000	0	0.164	0.082	0.000
	46	0.163	0.080	-0.002	46	0.162	0.081	-0.001
	95	0.164	0.079	-0.003	93	0.161	0.081	-0.001
	143	0.167	0.079	-0.003	141	0.161	0.082	0.000
	188	0.169	0.080	-0.002	187	0.162	0.082	0.000
Pods	0	0.481	0.207	0.000	0	0.481	0.207	0.000
	46	0.497	0.208	+0.001	46	0.504	0.205	-0.002
	95	0.497	0.206	-0.001	93	0.501	0.200	-0.007
	143	0.511	0.215	+0.008	141	0.508	0.194	-0.013
	188	0.516	0.215	+0.008	187	0.530	0.201	-0.006
Peas and pods	0	0.289	0.000	0	0.289	0.000
	46	0.288	-0.001	46	0.287	-0.003
	95	0.285	-0.004	93	0.281	-0.008
	143	0.294	+0.005	141	0.276	-0.013
	188	0.295	+0.006	187	0.283	-0.006

throughout because we have assumed in the calculations that the check and stored samples had the same initial weight of phosphorus, associated initially with a constant amount of dry matter. In the *unshelled* peas there was a considerable increase in the weight of phosphorus. The rate

of increase in percentage of phosphorus was greater than the rate of increase in percentage of dry matter and this caused a decrease in the ratio of dry matter to phosphorus and therefore an increase in phosphorus percentage. In the pods there was a considerable decrease in the percentage of phosphorus; evidently, therefore, the rate of decrease in percentage of phosphorus-containing constituents was greater than that of other dry-matter constituents. A large fraction of the phosphorus in the pods was translocated, more than half of this element by weight being moved out in 111 hours.

In both the peas and pods stored *shelled* at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$ the weight of phosphorus remained constant (table 11). Respiration caused a loss in weight of dry matter, thus decreasing the ratio of dry matter to phosphorus and increasing the percentage of phosphorus. In the *unshelled* peas there was an increase in both percentage and weight of phosphorus. In the unshelled pods the percentage of phosphorus changed very little despite a decreased weight of phosphorus. After 187 hours at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$, 10 per cent of the phosphorus in the pods had been translocated; after 111 hours at 25°C , 65 per cent.

Magnesium.—In both peas and pods stored *shelled* at 25°C there was an increase in the percentage of magnesium, the weight of magnesium remaining constant (table 12). In the *unshelled* peas there was a slight increase in the percentage. If both the magnesium and the dry matter had been translocated during storage at such rates that their initial ratio was maintained, then of course the percentage would have remained constant. In the unshelled pods the percentage of magnesium also increased, even though this element was translocated in determinable amounts to the peas. The increase in percentage indicates that the dry matter is lost from the pods sufficiently fast to cause a rapid decrease in the ratio of dry matter to magnesium. The percentage changes in phosphorus and magnesium in the unshelled pods showed considerable contrasts at this temperature. The decrease in the percentage of phosphorus and the increase in the percentage of magnesium indicate that the former is readily translocated, whereas the latter is not.

In both peas and pods stored *shelled* at $3\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}\text{C}$, there was a slight increase in the percentage of magnesium (table 12). Though the weight of magnesium should be constant in both pods and peas, in the former there was some fluctuation. In the *unshelled* peas both the percentage and the weight of magnesium remained almost constant; no significant movement of magnesium was discerned at this temperature. In the unshelled pods there was an increase in the percentage of magnesium, due to the decrease in weight of dry matter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Eugene Crump and Miss Bernice Fry, who gave valuable assistance with some of the analytical work.

LITERATURE CITED

- ¹ BISSON, C. S., and H. A. JONES.
1932. Changes accompanying fruit development in the garden pea. *Plant Physiol.* 7:91-105.
- ² BISSON, C. S., and H. A. JONES.
1934. Determining changes in stored material by use of a reference element. *Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc.* 31:122-24.
- ³ BOSWELL, V. R.
1924. Chemical changes during the growth and ripening of pea seeds. *Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. Proc.* 21:178-87.
- ⁴ JONES, H. A., and C. S. BISSON.
1932. Changes in the composition of the garden pea after harvest. *Plant Physiol.* 7:273-83.
- ⁵ KERTESZ, Z. I.
1933. Some carbohydrate changes in shelled peas. *New York Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul.* 622:3-14.

